

CROWELL & MORING LLP
Justin D. Kingsolver (AZ Bar No. 035476)
JKingsolver@crowell.com
Alexander Urbelis (*pro hac vice*)
AUrbelis@crowell.com
1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20004
Telephone: (202) 624-2500

CROWELL & MORING LLP
Warrington S. Parker, III (*pro hac vice*)
WParker@crowell.com
Jacob Canter (*pro hac vice*)
JCanter@crowell.com
Katie Lee (*pro hac vice*)
KatLee@crowell.com
3 Embarcadero Center, 26th Floor
San Francisco, California 94111
Telephone: (415) 986-2800

Attorneys for Plaintiffs True Names, Ltd. and Virgil Griffith

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

True Names, Ltd. d/b/a Ethereum Name
Service, a Singapore corporation; and
Virgil Griffith, an individual,

Plaintiffs,

v.

GoDaddy, Inc., a Delaware corporation;
GoDaddy.com LLC, a Delaware
corporation; Dynadot LLC, a California
corporation; and Manifold Finance, Inc., a
Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

No. 2:22-cv-01494-JJT

STIPULATION FOR EXTENSION OF
BRIEFING SCHEDULE

This action was filed by Plaintiffs True Names, Ltd., and Virgil Griffith (“Plaintiffs”) on September 5, 2022. (*See* Dkt. No. 1). Plaintiffs filed an amended complaint on September 21, 2022. (*See* Dkt. No. 24).

GoDaddy, Inc. and GoDaddy.com LLC (“the Stipulating Defendants”) filed a Motion to Dismiss on December 12, 2022. (*See* Dkt. No. 49 (“Motion”). The Stipulating Defendants and Plaintiffs (together, “the Parties”) have agreed to extend the briefing schedule for the Motion to provide the Parties a reciprocal two-week extension for their respective filings. Without an extension, the due dates of Plaintiffs’ opposition falls on December 27, 2022 and the reply due date falls on January 3, 2023.

1 The Plaintiffs agree to file any response in opposition to the Motion on or before
2 January 10, 2023. The Stipulating Defendants agree to file any reply to the Motion on or
3 before January 31, 2023.

4 Good cause exists for this in order due to conflicting briefing schedules in other
5 matters, scheduled travel plans, and to afford the Plaintiffs and Stipulating Defendants
6 time to respectively draft and file the response and reply to the Motion. No other
7 deadlines will be affected by this stipulated extension. This is the Parties' first request
8 for an extension concerning this matter.

9 Accordingly, for the reasons presented herein, the Parties respectfully ask the
10 Court to enter an order extending the deadline for any response in opposition the Motion
11 on or before **January 10, 2023** and any reply to the Motion on or before **January 31,**
12 **2023**. A proposed order consistent with the relief requested herein is submitted
13 concurrently herewith.

14
15 Executed in San Francisco, California, on this 22nd day of December 2022.

16 /s/ Katie Lee x
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28